

# On capitulations and pseudo-null submodules in certain $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions

By Satoshi FUJII

(Received Nov. 99, 20XX)

**Abstract.** Let  $p$  be a prime number. By a result of Ozaki, the capitulations of ideals in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions and the finite submodules of Iwasawa modules are closely related. In this article, we discuss this relationship in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime number and  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  a fixed finite extension, where denote by  $\mathbb{Q}$  the field of rational numbers. For a number field  $F$ , let  $A_F$  be the  $p$ -part of the ideal class group of  $F$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  be the ring of  $p$ -adic integers. Let  $k_\infty/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension and  $k_n$  its  $n$ -th layer for each non-negative integer  $n$ , namely,  $k_n$  is the unique intermediate field of  $k_\infty/k$  such that  $[k_n : k] = p^n$ . Let  $X_{k_\infty} = \varprojlim_n A_{k_n}$ , the projective limit is taken with respect to norm maps. The module  $X_{k_\infty}$  is also defined to be the Galois group  $\text{Gal}(L_{k_\infty}/k_\infty)$  of the maximal unramified abelian pro- $p$  extension  $L_{k_\infty}/k_\infty$ . We then have natural projection maps  $X_{k_\infty} \rightarrow A_{k_n}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $A_{k_\infty} = \varinjlim_n A_{k_n}$ , the inductive limit is taken with respect to lifting maps. We then have lifting maps  $A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_{k_\infty}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . It is well known that  $X_{k_\infty}$  is a module over the completed group ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)]]$ . Let  $X_{k_\infty}^0$  be the maximal finite submodule of  $X_{k_\infty}$ . Then Ozaki obtained the following.

**THEOREM 1.1 (OZAKI [15]).** *Suppose that  $k_\infty/k$  is totally ramified at all ramified primes. Then we have  $\text{Ker}(A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_{k_\infty}) = \text{Im}(X_{k_\infty}^0 \rightarrow A_{k_n})$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . In particular,  $X_{k_\infty}^0 \neq 0$  if and only if  $\text{Ker}(A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_{k_\infty}) \neq 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .*

For the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions  $k_\infty/k$  of totally real fields  $k$ , the non-triviality of  $X_{k_\infty}^0$  is studied as a weak form of Greenberg's conjecture (for Greenberg's conjecture see [5], and for a weak form of Greenberg's conjecture see [13], [14]). In this article, we discuss the relationship between kernels of lifting maps and pseudo-null submodules in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions.

For a positive integer  $d$ , an algebraic extension  $K/k$  is called a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension if  $K/k$  is a Galois extension and  $\text{Gal}(K/k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  as topological groups. The composite field  $\tilde{k}$  of all  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of  $k$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension for some  $d > 0$ . Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Let  $X_K = \varprojlim_{k \subseteq k' \subseteq K, [k':k] < \infty} A_{k'}$ , the projective limit is taken with respect to norm maps. The

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R23.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Capitulations, Pseudo-null submodules.

The research of this article was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 22H01119.

module  $X_K$  is also defined to be the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian pro- $p$  extension  $L_K/K$ . Then the completed group ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$  acts on  $X_K$ . Then it is known that that  $X_K$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$ -module, see Lemma 2.2 of the below. Let  $X_K^0$  be the maximal pseudo-null  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$ -submodule of  $X_K$ , here, a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$ -module is called pseudo-null if the annihilator ideal is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals. When  $K = \tilde{k}$ , the non-triviality of  $X_k^0$  is studied as a weak form of Greenberg's generalized conjecture (for Greenberg's generalized conjecture see [7], and for a weak form of Greenberg's generalized conjecture see [18], [14] and [11]). Let  $A_K = \varinjlim_{k \subseteq k' \subseteq K, [k':k] < \infty} A_{k'}$ , the inductive limit is taken with respect to lifting maps.

Let  $A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K$  be the lifting map. In this article, we mainly discuss by putting the following assumption on  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions:

CONDITION A. The prime number  $p$  does not split in  $k/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K/k$  is totally ramified at the unique prime of  $k$  lying above  $p$ .

The results of this article are as follows.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds and that  $A_k \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . If there is an intermediate field  $k \subseteq k' \subseteq K$  with  $[k' : k] < \infty$  such that  $\text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K) \neq 0$ , then  $X_K^0 \neq 0$ .*

We must mention here that, by Iwasawa's result [8], under the condition A, if  $A_k = 0$  then  $X_K = 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds. If  $X_K^0 \neq 0$ , then there is an intermediate field  $k \subseteq k' \subseteq K$  with  $[k' : k] < \infty$  such that  $\text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K) \neq 0$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds and that  $A_k \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^c$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then  $X_K^0 \neq 0$  if and only if there is an intermediate field  $k \subseteq k' \subseteq K$  with  $[k' : k] < \infty$  such that  $\text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K) \neq 0$ .*

There have been some related earlier studies, we introduce here two of them.

**THEOREM 1.4 (PROPOSITION 5.B OF MINARDI [10]).** *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds. Then  $X_K = X_K^0$  if and only if there is a sub- $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $F_\infty/F$  of  $K/k$  with  $[F : k] < \infty$  such that  $A_F = \text{Ker}(A_F \rightarrow A_{F_\infty})$ .*

**THEOREM 1.5 (LAI AND TAN [9]).** *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Then we have*  

$$\varprojlim_{k \subseteq k' \subseteq K, [k':k] < \infty} \text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K) \subseteq X_K^0.$$

We set here some notations. For a profinite group  $G$ , let  $\Lambda_G = \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$  be the completed group ring of  $G$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . In the rest of this section, let  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . It is known as Serre's isomorphism that  $\Lambda_G$  is isomorphic to the formal power series ring in  $d$ -variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Hence  $\Lambda_G$  is a noetherian, integrally closed, complete, regular local ring. By Auslander–Buchsbaum's theorem [1],  $\Lambda_G$  and  $\Lambda_G/p\Lambda_G$

are UFDs. A finitely generated  $\Lambda_G$ -module  $M$  is called pseudo-null if the annihilator ideal of  $M$  over  $\Lambda_G$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals of  $\Lambda_G$ . When  $d = 1$ , it is known that  $M$  is pseudo-null if and only if it is finite. For a topological group  $\mathfrak{G}$  and a topological  $\mathfrak{G}$ -module  $M$ , let  $M^{\mathfrak{G}}$  and  $M_{\mathfrak{G}}$  be the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant submodule and the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -coinvariant module of  $M$ . For an algebraic extension  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  not necessary finite, let  $L_F/F$  be the maximal unramified abelian pro- $p$  extension and  $X_F$  its Galois group. Let  $A_F$  be the  $p$ -part of the ideal class group of  $F$ . If  $[F : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ ,  $X_F \simeq A_F$  by unramified class field theory.

## 2. Preliminaries

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $A$  be a UFD and  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ . The following three statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The ideal  $I$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals of  $A$ .*
- (2) *There are  $f, g \in I$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime.*
- (3) *For all  $0 \neq f \in A$  there is  $g \in I$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime.*

PROOF. (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Trivial. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) : Let  $f, g \in I$  and suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime. Then there is no prime element  $q \in A$  such that both of  $f$  and  $g$  are divided by  $q$ . Since  $(f, g) \subseteq I$ ,  $I$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) : The following proof is written in Lemma 4.3 of [10]. Suppose that  $I$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals of  $A$ . Let  $s$  be the number of pairwise non-associated prime factors of  $f$ . We prove by using induction on  $s$ . Let  $s = 1$ . Then  $f = uq_1^m$  for a unit  $u$  and an integer  $m$ . Since  $I$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals, it follows that  $I \not\subseteq (q_1)$ , and hence there is  $g \in I$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime. Suppose that  $s > 1$ . Let  $f = f_1 f_2$  be a decomposition of  $f$  by non units  $f_1, f_2$  such that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are relatively prime. By the assumption of our induction, there are  $g_1, g_2 \in I$  such that each of two pairs of elements  $f_1, g_1$  and  $f_2, g_2$  are relatively prime respectively. Put  $g = g_2 f_1 + g_1 f_2 \in I$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension and  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Then  $X_K$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_G$ -modules.*

PROOF. This lemma was shown by Greenberg [4] for the extension  $\tilde{k}/k$ , and some authors mentioned that the statement of Lemma 2.2 also holds true for arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions. For readers, we prefer to provide the proof here. Greenberg's proof depends on the existence of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions. The cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of a number field is ramified at all primes lying above  $p$ , and this property is a keystone to prove the statement of Lemma 2.2. Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension with  $d \geq 1$  and  $S$  the set of all primes of  $k$  which ramify in  $K/k$ . Here it suffices to show that there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $k_{\infty}/k$  such that  $k_{\infty} \subseteq K$  and that  $k_{\infty}/k$  is ramified at all primes in  $S$ . Such a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $k_{\infty}/k$  plays the role of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions in Greenberg's proof, see Theorem 1 of [4]. We shall prove by induction on  $d \geq 1$ . The case that  $d = 1$  is trivial. Let  $d > 1$  and assume that the statement of the lemma holds true for all  $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ -extensions  $K_0/k$  which are exactly ramified at all primes in  $S$  with  $r < d$ . Let  $I_v \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/k)$  be the inertia subgroup at  $v \in S$ . Put  $S_1 = \{w \in S \mid I_w \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p\}$ . Since  $d > 1$  and  $S_1$  is a

finite set, we can choose  $x \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$  such that  $x \notin \text{Gal}(K/k)^p$  and that  $\overline{\langle x \rangle} \cap I_w = 0$  for all  $w \in S_1$ . Let  $K_0$  be the fixed field of  $\overline{\langle x \rangle}$  in  $K$ . Then  $K_0/k$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d-1}$ -extension. Let  $u \in S \setminus S_1$ . It follows that the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank of  $I_u$  is greater than 1. Then  $I_u \overline{\langle x \rangle} / \overline{\langle x \rangle}$  has  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -rank at least 1. Thus the inertia subgroup in  $K_0/k$  at each  $v \in S$  is not trivial, and hence  $K_0/k$  is ramified at all primes in  $S$ . By the assumption of our induction, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $k_\infty/k$  such that  $k_\infty \subseteq K_0$  and that  $k_\infty/k$  is ramified at all primes in  $S$ . This completes the proof. This proof is a generalization of Lemma 5 of [3].  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds. For each intermediate field  $F$  of  $K/k$ , we have  $X_F \simeq (X_K)_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}$ .*

PROOF. It follows that  $K/F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^r$ -extension for some  $r \leq d$ . Let  $\text{Gal}(K/F) = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r \rangle$ . One can see that  $(X_K)_{\text{Gal}(K/F)} = X_K/(\sigma_1 - 1, \dots, \sigma_r - 1)X_K$ . Let  $K_i$  be the fixed field of  $\langle \sigma_{i+1}, \dots, \sigma_r \rangle$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Then we have a tower of fields  $F = K_0 \subseteq K_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq K_r = K$ . By the condition A, the extension  $K/k$  is totally ramified at the unique prime of  $k$  lying above  $p$ , and hence extensions  $K_i/K_{i-1}$  are also totally ramified at the unique prime of  $K_{i-1}$  lying above  $p$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . Let  $L_i$  be the maximal subfield of  $L_{K_i}$  which is abelian over  $K_{i-1}$ . It holds that  $\text{Gal}(L_i/K_i) \simeq (X_{K_i})_{\text{Gal}(K_i/K_{i-1})} = X_{K_i}/(\sigma_i - 1)X_{K_i}$ . Let  $I_i$  be the inertia subgroup in  $L_i/K_{i-1}$  of the unique prime of  $K_{i-1}$  lying above  $p$ . It then holds that  $I_i = \text{Gal}(L_i/L_{K_{i-1}})$ . Since  $L_i/K_i$  is unramified, we have  $I_i \cap \text{Gal}(L_i/K_i) = 1$ , and hence  $L_i = K_i L_{K_{i-1}}$  holds. By the definition of  $L_i$  it follows that  $L_{K_{i-1}} \cap K_i = K_{i-1}$ , and hence we have  $X_{K_{i-1}} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_i/K_i) \simeq X_{K_i}/(\sigma_i - 1)X_{K_i}$  for all  $i$ . Thus it holds that  $X_F \simeq X_K/(\sigma_1 - 1, \dots, \sigma_r - 1)X_K = (X_K)_{\text{Gal}(K/F)}$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $M$  a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ -module. Then  $M$  has no non-trivial finite submodules if and only if there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda_\Gamma^{\oplus r} \rightarrow \Lambda_\Gamma^{\oplus r} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .*

PROOF. See Proposition 2.1 of [17].  $\square$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$  with  $d > 0$ . Let  $N$  be a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_G$ -module. Assume that  $N$  has an annihilator  $\Phi \in \Lambda_G$  such that  $\Phi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p\Lambda_G}$ . Then  $G$  contains at least one subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  with the property that  $N$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_H$ .*

PROOF. See Lemma 2 of [6].  $\square$

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^d$ . Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $N$  be a finitely generated pseudo-null  $\Lambda_G$ -module. Suppose that  $N$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_H$ . Then for all but finitely many subgroups  $V$  of  $H$  with  $H/V \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{d-2}$ ,  $N_V$  is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda_{G/V}$ -module.*

PROOF. This lemma is shown in [10] as a Corollary of Proposition 4.C. Here, we give a somewhat simpler proof. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_H$  with  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $\tau \in G$  be an element such that  $G = H \times \langle \tau \rangle$ . Put  $T = \tau - 1$ , and we shall identify by Serre's isomorphism  $\Lambda_G$  with  $\Lambda_H[[T]]$ , the formal power series

ring in the variable  $T$  with coefficients in  $\Lambda_H$ . Hence all  $\Lambda_G$ -module can be regarded as  $\Lambda_H[[T]]$ -modules. Since  $N$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_H$ , by Cayley–Hamilton’s theorem, there is a monic polynomial  $f \in \Lambda_H[T]$  such that  $f$  annihilates  $N$ . By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we may assume that  $f$  is a distinguished polynomial of degree greater than 0, see Definition 2 and Proposition 6 in Section 3 of Chapter 7 of [2]. Since  $N$  is pseudo-null, by Lemma 2.1, there is an annihilator  $g \in \Lambda_G = \Lambda_H[[T]]$  of  $N$  such that  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime. If we need, by adding  $f$  to  $g$  and by the Weierstrass preparation theorem, we may assume that  $g$  is also a distinguished polynomial in  $\Lambda_H[T]$ . By Proposition 7 of Section 3 of Chapter 7 of [2],  $f$  and  $g$  are relatively prime in  $\Lambda_G$  if and only if are relatively prime in  $\Lambda_H[T]$ . Hence there are polynomials  $A$  and  $B$  of  $Q_{\Lambda_H}[T]$  such that  $Af + Bg = 1$ , here  $Q_{\Lambda_H}$  denotes the field of fractions of  $\Lambda_H$ . Choose an element  $\alpha \in \Lambda_H$  such that  $\alpha A, \alpha B \in \Lambda_H[T]$ , hence it holds that  $\alpha Af + \alpha Bg = \alpha$ . Let  $\sigma \in H \setminus H^p$ . By the choice of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have  $f, g \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(\sigma - 1)\Lambda_G}$ . Since  $\Lambda_G$  is a UFD and  $(\sigma - 1)\Lambda_G$  is a prime ideal of  $\Lambda_G$ , there are only finitely many prime ideals of the form  $(\sigma - 1)\Lambda_G$  so that  $\alpha \equiv 0 \pmod{(\sigma - 1)\Lambda_G}$ . Let  $V = \langle \sigma \rangle$  with the property that  $\alpha \not\equiv 0 \pmod{(\sigma - 1)\Lambda_G}$ . For each  $h \in \Lambda_G$ , let  $h_V$  be the image of  $h$  with respect to the map  $\Lambda_G \rightarrow \Lambda_{G/V} = \Lambda_{H/V}[[T]]$ . Thus it holds that  $(\alpha A)_V f_V + (\alpha B)_V g_V = \alpha_V \neq 0$ . This implies that  $f_V$  and  $g_V$  are relatively prime in  $\Lambda_{H/V}[T]$ . Further  $f_V, g_V \neq 0$  and both of  $f_V, g_V$  annihilate  $N_V$ . Therefore,  $N_V$  is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda_{H/V}[[T]] = \Lambda_{G/V}$ -module.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.7. *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds. Let  $1 \neq \sigma \in G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Then a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $X_K$  over  $\Lambda_G$  and  $\sigma - 1$  are relatively prime.*

PROOF. Because we study on a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $X_K$ , we may assume that  $X_K^0 = 0$ . By the structure theorem of finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_G$ -modules, see for example (5.1.7) Proposition of [12], there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X_K \rightarrow E \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$  of  $\Lambda_G$ -modules, here, we denote by  $E$  an elementally finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_G$ -module and by  $Z$  a pseudo-null  $\Lambda_G$ -module. Let  $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d\}$  be a basis of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that  $\sigma = \sigma_1^{p^a}$  with some non-negative integer  $a$ . Then one can see that  $Z/(\sigma - 1)Z$  is a finitely generated torsion  $\Lambda_H$ -module, where we let  $H = \langle \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle$ . Indeed, since  $Z/(\sigma - 1)Z$  is also pseudo-null over  $\Lambda_G$ , there is an annihilator  $u$  of  $Z/(\sigma - 1)Z$  over  $\Lambda_G$  such that  $u$  and  $\sigma - 1$  are relatively prime. By the Weierstrass preparation theorem, if  $u$  is not reduced in  $\Lambda_G = \Lambda_H[[\sigma_1]]$ , by adding  $\sigma - 1$  to  $u$ , we may assume that  $u$  is a distinguished polynomial in  $\Lambda_H[\sigma_1 - 1]$ . Since  $\sigma - 1$  and  $u$  are relatively prime in  $Q_{\Lambda_H}[\sigma_1 - 1]$ , there are  $f$  and  $g$  of  $Q_{\Lambda_H}[\sigma_1 - 1]$  such that  $f(\sigma - 1) + gu = 1$ . Thus there is  $0 \neq v \in \Lambda_H$  such that  $v = (vf)(\sigma - 1) + (vg)u$ . This shows that  $Z/(\sigma - 1)Z$  is torsion over  $\Lambda_H$ .

Let  $M$  be the fixed field of  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ . Then we have a decomposition  $\text{Gal}(M/k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^a \times \langle \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle$ . Let  $F$  be the fixed field of  $H = \langle \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d \rangle$  in  $M$ . Then  $[F : k] < \infty$  and  $M/F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{d-1}$ -extension. It is known by Lemma 2.2 that the module  $X_M$  is finitely generated and torsion over  $\Lambda_H$ . By Lemma 2.3, one can see that  $X_M \simeq (X_K)_{\text{Gal}(K/M)} = X_K/(\sigma - 1)X_K$ . Then we have an exact sequence  $X_M \rightarrow E/(\sigma - 1)E \rightarrow Z/(\sigma - 1)Z \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $f \in \Lambda_G$  be a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $X_K$  over  $\Lambda_G$ . Now, suppose that  $f$  and  $\sigma - 1$  are not relatively prime. Let  $q$  be a common prime factor of  $f$  and  $\sigma - 1$ .

Then  $E$  is a module of the form

$$E = \Lambda_G/q^e \Lambda_G \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda_G/q_i^{e_i} \Lambda_G,$$

where  $q_1, \dots, q_s \in \Lambda_G$  denote prime elements of  $\Lambda_G$ , and  $e, e_1, \dots, e_s$  are positive integers. As we checked,  $Z/(\sigma-1)Z$  is torsion over  $\Lambda_H$ . Since

$$\Lambda_G/(q, \sigma-1) = \Lambda_G/q \Lambda_G = (\mathbb{Z}_p[\langle \sigma_1 \rangle]/q\mathbb{Z}_p[\langle \sigma_1 \rangle])[[H]] \supseteq \Lambda_H,$$

$\Lambda_G/(q, \sigma-1)$  is not torsion over  $\Lambda_H$ , and  $\Lambda_G/(q^e, \sigma-1)$  is also not torsion since there is a surjective morphism  $\Lambda_G/(q^e, \sigma-1) \rightarrow \Lambda_G/(q, \sigma-1)$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $X_M \simeq X_K/(\sigma-1)X_K$  is torsion over  $\Lambda_H$ . Therefore there are no common prime factors of  $f$  and  $\sigma-1$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds. Let  $1 \neq \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . Then we have  $(X_K/X_K^0)^{\overline{\langle \sigma \rangle}} = 0$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.7, a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $X_K$  and  $\sigma-1$  are relatively prime. Since  $X_K/X_K^0$  has no non-trivial pseudo-null submodules, we have  $(X_K/X_K^0)^{\overline{\langle \sigma \rangle}} = 0$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.9. *Let  $N$  be a non-trivial pseudo-null  $\Lambda_U$ -module, where  $U \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^2$ . Assume that  $N_U$  is finite. Then there exists at least one subgroup  $V$  of  $U$  such that  $U/V \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  with the property that  $N_V$  contains a non-trivial finite  $\Lambda_{U/V}$ -submodule.*

PROOF. See Lemma 5 of [6].  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension and suppose that the condition A holds. Suppose also that  $A_k \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^c$  for some  $c > 0$ , and  $\text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_K) \neq 0$  for some  $k \subseteq k' \subseteq K$  with  $[k' : k] < \infty$ . There is a finite extension  $k'_1/k'$  with  $k'_1 \subseteq K$  such that  $\text{Ker}(A_{k'} \rightarrow A_{k'_1}) \neq 0$ . Then one can find a finite cyclic extension  $F'/F$  such that  $k' \subseteq F \subseteq F' \subseteq k'_1$  and that  $\text{Ker}(A_F \rightarrow A_{F'}) \neq 0$ . Since  $K/F$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension, there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $F_\infty/F$  such that  $F' \subseteq F_\infty \subseteq K$  and that  $\text{Ker}(A_F \rightarrow A_{F_\infty}) \neq 0$ . By Theorem 1.1, we have  $X_{F_\infty}^0 \neq 0$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ ,  $H = \text{Gal}(K/F_\infty)$  and  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ . By Nakayama's lemma and Lemma 2.3, since  $A_k \simeq (X_K)_G$ ,  $X_K$  is cyclic over  $\Lambda_G$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \Lambda_G \rightarrow X_K \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence of  $\Lambda_G$ -modules with an ideal  $I$  of  $\Lambda_G$ . Since  $\Lambda_G$  is noetherian,  $I$  is finitely generated. Put  $I = (h_1, \dots, h_s)$  for some elements  $h_1, \dots, h_s \in \Lambda_G$ . Let  $h$  be a greatest common divisor of  $h_1, \dots, h_s$ . Suppose that  $X_K^0 = 0$ . Let  $I_0 = (h_1/h, \dots, h_s/h)$ . It holds that  $h\Lambda_G/I \simeq \Lambda_G/I_0$ . Since elements  $h_1/h, \dots, h_s/h$  have no non-trivial common divisor,  $I_0$  is not contained in any height 1 prime ideals of  $\Lambda_G$ . Hence  $\Lambda_G/I_0 \simeq h\Lambda_G/I$  is a pseudo-null  $\Lambda_G$ -module. Since  $X_K \simeq \Lambda_G/I$  has no non-trivial pseudo-null submodules, we have  $I = h\Lambda_G$ . Thus there is an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda_G \rightarrow \Lambda_G \rightarrow X_K \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $(\Lambda_G)_H \simeq \Lambda_{G/H}$ , we have an exact sequence  $\Lambda_{G/H} \rightarrow \Lambda_{G/H} \rightarrow X_{F_\infty} \rightarrow 0$ . By the

definitions of  $G$  and  $H$ , we have  $(G/H)/\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F/k)$ . Since  $(\Lambda_{G/H})_\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p^{\oplus[F:k]}$  and  $\Lambda_{G/H}$  is torsion free over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , it holds that  $\Lambda_{G/H} \simeq \Lambda_\Gamma^{\oplus[F:k]}$  as  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ -modules. From the fact that  $X_{F_\infty}$  is a torsion  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ -module, the kernel of  $\Lambda_{G/H} \rightarrow \Lambda_{G/H}$  is a submodule of a free  $\Lambda_\Gamma$ -module and is of rank 0, and hence is trivial. Therefore we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Lambda_\Gamma^{\oplus[F:k]} \rightarrow \Lambda_\Gamma^{\oplus[F:k]} \rightarrow X_{F_\infty} \rightarrow 0$ . This implies that  $X_{F_\infty}^0 = 0$  by Lemma 2.4. This contradicts to the fact that  $X_{F_\infty}^0 \neq 0$ . Thus we have  $X_K^0 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let  $K/k$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extension. Suppose that the condition A holds, and that  $X_K^0 \neq 0$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ . First, we suppose that  $d \geq 3$ . Since  $X_K^0$  is pseudo-null, there is an annihilator  $\Phi \in \Lambda_G$  of  $X_K^0$  such that  $\Phi \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p\Lambda_G}$ . By Lemma 2.5, there is a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $G/H \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  and that  $X_K^0$  is finitely generated over  $\Lambda_H$ . By Nakayama's lemma and Lemma 2.6, there is  $\sigma \in H \setminus H^p$  such that  $X_K^0/(\sigma - 1)X_K^0$  is a non-trivial pseudo-null  $\Lambda_{G/\langle\sigma\rangle}$ -module. Let  $K^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$  be the fixed field of  $\sigma$  in  $K$ . By Lemma 2.8,  $X_K^0/(\sigma - 1)X_K^0 \rightarrow X_{K^{\langle\sigma\rangle}}^0/(\sigma - 1)X_{K^{\langle\sigma\rangle}}^0 \simeq X_{K^{\langle\sigma\rangle}}^0$  is injective. Hence we have  $X_{K^{\langle\sigma\rangle}}^0 \neq 0$ . Let  $K^H$  be the fixed field of  $H$ . Then one sees that  $K^H/k$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension. By doing the same arguments, we can find a  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension  $L/k$  such that  $X_L^0 \neq 0$  and  $K^H \subseteq L$ . Next we consider the  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -extension  $L/k$ . Put  $U = \text{Gal}(L/k)$ , and let  $V_0$  be a closed subgroup of  $U$  such that  $U/V_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ , and  $E \subseteq L$  the fixed field of  $V_0$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a topological generator of  $\text{Gal}(E/k) = U/V_0$ . From the condition A, it holds that  $A_k \simeq X_E/(\gamma - 1)X_E$ , and hence  $X_E/(\gamma - 1)X_E$  is finite. This shows that a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $X_E$  over  $\Lambda_{U/V_0}$  and  $\gamma - 1$  are relatively prime. By Lemma 2.8, the map  $(X_L^0)_{V_0} \rightarrow (X_L^0)_{V_0} \simeq X_E$  is injective. Hence a generator of the characteristic ideal of  $(X_L^0)_{V_0}$  and  $\gamma - 1$  are also relatively prime. This shows that  $(X_L^0)_U = (X_L^0)_{V_0}/(\gamma - 1)(X_L^0)_{V_0}$  is finite. By Lemma 2.9, there is a subgroup  $V \subseteq U$  such that  $U/V \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$  with the property that  $(X_L^0)_V$  has a non-trivial finite submodule. Let  $k_\infty$  be the fixed field of  $V$  in  $L$ . Then it follows that  $X_{k_\infty}^0 \neq 0$ . By Theorem 1.1,  $\text{Ker}(A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_{k_\infty}) \neq 0$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_{k_\infty}) \subseteq \text{Ker}(A_{k_n} \rightarrow A_K)$ , this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark.** In fact, from Theorem 2 of [16], one can further see that  $(X_L^0)_V$  is finite for all but finite subgroups  $V$  of  $U$  such that  $U/V \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

#### Acknowledgments

The author would like to express his thanks to the referee for his/her kindness and patience. In particular, the proof of Lemma 2.7 was remarkably simplified thanks to his/her suggestion. The author would like to express his gratitude to Kazuaki Murakami for pointing out that the proof of Lemma 2.7 in the previous version was not correct. The research of this article was partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant number 22H01119.

#### References

- [1] M. Auslander and D. A. Buchsbaum, Unique factorization in regular local rings. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 45, 733-734 (1959).

- [2] N. Bourbaki, Elements of Mathematics, Commutative Algebra, Chapters 1–7, Springer–Verlag (1988).
- [3] S. Fujii, On Greenberg’s generalized conjecture for CM-fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 731, 259–278 (2017).
- [4] R. Greenberg, The Iwasawa invariants of  $\Gamma$ -extensions of a fixed number field. *Amer. J. Math.* 95, 204–214 (1973).
- [5] R. Greenberg, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields. *Amer. J. Math.* 98 (1976), no. 1, 263–284.
- [6] R. Greenberg, On the structure of certain Galois groups. *Invent. Math.* 47, 85–99 (1978).
- [7] R. Greenberg, Iwasawa theory – past and present. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 30, 335–385 (2001).
- [8] K. Iwasawa, A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields. *Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb.* 20, 257–258 (1956).
- [9] K. F. Lai and K.-S. Tan, A generalized Iwasawa’s theorem and its application. *Res. Math. Sci.* 8, No. 2, Paper No. 20, 18 p. (2021).
- [10] J. V. Minardi, Iwasawa modules for  $\mathbb{Z}_p^d$ -extensions of algebraic number fields. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Washington. 1986. 77 pp.
- [11] K. Murakami, A weak form of Greenberg’s generalized conjecture for imaginary quadratic fields. *J. Number Theory* 244, 308–338 (2023).
- [12] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg, Cohomology of number fields. Second edition, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 323, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. xvi+825 pp.
- [13] T. Nguyen Quang Do, Sur la conjecture faible de Greenberg dans le cas abélien  $p$ -décomposé. *Int. J. Number Theory* 2, No. 1, 49–64 (2006).
- [14] T. Nguyen Quang Do, Sur une forme faible de la conjecture de Greenberg. II. *Int. J. Number Theory* 13, No. 4, 1061–1070 (2017).
- [15] M. Ozaki, A note on the capitulation in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* 71 (1995), no. 9, 218–219.
- [16] M. Ozaki, Iwasawa invariants of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions over an imaginary quadratic field. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* 30, 387–399 (2001).
- [17] K. Wingberg, Duality theorems for  $\Gamma$ -extensions of algebraic number fields. *Compos. Math.* 55, 333–381 (1985).
- [18] K. Wingberg, Free pro- $p$  extensions of number fields, preprint, available at author’s homepage (05/05/2024).

Satoshi FUJII

Faculty of Education, Shimane University, 1060 Nishikawatsucho,  
Matsue, Shimane, 690–8504, Japan.

E-mail: fujiiatoshi@edu.shimane-u.ac.jp