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#### Abstract

In this note, we establish Guan-Zhou's unified version of optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem for holomorphic vector bundles with smooth hermitian metrics on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds. Combining with previous work of Guan-Mi-Yuan, we generalize Guan-Zhou's unified version of optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem to weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

We recall the $L^{2}$ extension problem (see [8], see also [27]) as follows: let $Y$ be a complex subvariety of a complex manifold $M$; given a holomorphic object $f$ on $Y$ satisfying certain $L^{2}$ estimate on $Y$, finding a holomorphic extension $F$ of $f$ from $Y$ to $M$, together with a good or even optimal $L^{2}$ estimate of $F$ on $M$.

The existence part of $L^{2}$ extension problem was firstly solved by Ohsawa-Takegoshi [22] and their result is called the Ohsawa-Takegoshi $L^{2}$ extension theorem now. After the Ohsawa-Takegoshi $L^{2}$ extension theorem, many generalizations of $L^{2}$ extension theorem and applications of the theorem were established, e.g., see [2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 19, 23, 25. Especially, $L^{2}$ extension theorems for different gain with nonoptimal estimate were obtained by Berndtsson, Demailly, Ohsawa, Mcneal-Varolin, et al, see [1, 7, 21, 24, 26].

The second part of $L^{2}$ extension problem was called the $L^{2}$ extension problem with optimal estimate or sharp $L^{2}$ extension problem (see [27]). The method of undetermined functions was introduced to study the sharp $L^{2}$ extension problem by Guan-Zhou-Zhu [18, 30. For bounded pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, Blocki [4] developed the equation in the method of undetermined functions of Guan-ZhouZhu [30], and obtained the optimal version of Ohsawa-Takegoshi's $L^{2}$ extension theorem in 22]. Using the method of undetermined functions, Guan-Zhou [16] (see also [15, 17]) established an $L^{2}$ extension theorem with optimal estimate on Stein manifolds for continuous gain, which implied various optimal versions of $L^{2}$ extension theorem. In [28] and [29], Zhou-Zhu proved optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem for smooth gain on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds.

Recall that, in [16] and [29] (see also [28]), both the line bundle case (with singular metric) and the vector bundles case (with smooth metric) were considered respectively. Note that [29] (see also [28]) did not fully generalize the results in

[^0][16]. It is natural to ask the following question (we posed following question for line bundles in [13]).
Question 1.1. Can one give unified versions of the optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorems (for both line bundles with singular metrics and vector bundles with smooth metrics) of Guan-Zhou [16] and Zhou-Zhu [29] (see also [28]).

In [13], we presented an optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorems for holomorphic vector bundles equipped with singular Nakano-semipositive metrics on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds, which can be viewed as a unified version of the line bundle case (with singular metrics) in [16] and [29] and hence answered Question 1.1 for line bundles (with singular metrics).

In this note, we give an affirmative answer to Question 1.1 for the vector bundle case (with smooth metric) by presenting an optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem of holomorphic vector bundles with smooth hermitian metrics for continuous gain on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds, which is a unified version of the optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorems for vector bundles (with smooth metrics) of [16] and [29].

### 1.1. Main result.

Definition 1.2. A function $\psi: M \rightarrow[-\infty,+\infty)$ on a complex manifold $M$ is said to be quasi-plurisubharmonic if $\psi$ is locally the sum of a plurisubharmonic function and a smooth function (or equivalently, if $i \partial \bar{\partial} \psi$ is locally bounded from below). In addition, we say that $\psi$ has neat analytic singularities if every point $z \in M$ possesses an open neighborhood $U$ on which $\psi$ can be written as

$$
\psi=c \log \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N}\left|g_{j}\right|^{2}+v
$$

where $c \geq 0$ is a constant, $g_{j} \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $v \in C^{\infty}(U)$.
Definition 1.3. If $\psi$ is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on an $n$-dimensional complex manifold $M$, the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\psi)$ is the coherent analytic subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{M}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{I}(\psi)_{z}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{O}_{M, z}: \exists U \ni z, \int_{U}|f|^{2} e^{-\psi} d \lambda<+\infty\right\}
$$

where $U$ is an open coordinate neighborhood of $z$ and $d \lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure in the corresponding open chart of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

We say that the singularities of $\psi$ are log canonical along the zero variety $Y=$ $V(I(\psi))$ if $\left.\mathcal{I}((1-\epsilon) \psi)\right|_{Y}=\left.\mathcal{O}_{M}\right|_{Y}$ for any $\epsilon>0$.

Let $(M, \omega)$ be an $n$-dimensional Kähler manifold, and let $d V_{M, \omega}=\frac{1}{n!} \omega^{n}$ be the corresponding Kähler volume element.
Definition 1.4. Let $\psi$ be a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $M$ with neat analytic singularities. Assume that the singularities of $\psi$ are log canonical along the zero variety $Y=V(I(\psi))$. Denote $Y^{0}=Y_{\text {reg }}$ the regular point set of $Y$. If $g \in C_{c}\left(Y^{0}\right)$ and $\hat{g} \in C_{c}(M)$ satisfy $\left.\hat{g}\right|_{Y^{0}}=g$ and $(\operatorname{supp} \hat{g}) \cap Y=Y^{0}$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y^{0}} g d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]=\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{-t-1<\psi<-t\}} \hat{g} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.5 (see [9]). By Hironaka's desingularization theorem, it is not hard to see that the limit in the right of equality (1.1) does not depend on the continuous extension $\hat{g}$ and $d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]$ is well defined on $Y^{0}$.
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We recall the following class of functions, so called "gain".
Definition 1.6 (see [16]). Let $T \in(-\infty,+\infty)$ and $\delta \in(0,+\infty)$. Let $\mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$ be the class of functions $c(t)$ which satisfies the following statements,
(1) $c(t)$ is a continuous positive function on $[T,+\infty)$,
(2) $\int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$,
(3) for any $t>T$, the following inequality holds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right)^{2}> \\
& c(t) e^{-t}\left(\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c(T) e^{-T}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 1.7. The number $-T, \frac{1}{\delta}$ and function $c(t)$ are equal to the number $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}$ and function $\frac{1}{R(-t) e^{-t}}$ in [29]. We would like to use $-T, \frac{1}{\delta}$ and $c(t)$ in this note.

In this note, we establish Guan-Zhou's unified version of optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem for holomorphic vector bundles with smooth hermitian metrics on weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds.

Theorem 1.8 (Main theorem). Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$, where $\delta<+\infty$. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold. Let $\psi<-T$ be a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $M$ with neat analytic singularities. Let $Y:=V(\mathcal{I}(\psi))$ and assume that $\psi$ has $\log$ canonical singularities along $Y$. Let $E$ be a rank $r$ holomorphic vector bundle over $M$ equipped with a smooth Hermitian metric $h$. Assume that
(1) $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes I d_{E} \geq 0$ on $M \backslash\{\psi=-\infty\}$ in the sense of Nakano;
(2) $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes I d_{E}+\frac{1}{s(-\psi)} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes I d_{E} \geq 0$ on $M \backslash\{\psi=-\infty\}$ in the sense of Nakano, where

$$
s(t):=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c(T) e^{-T}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}}
$$

Then for every section $f \in H^{0}\left(Y^{0},\left.\left(K_{M} \otimes E\right)\right|_{Y^{0}}\right)$ on $Y^{0}=Y_{\text {reg }}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]<+\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists a section $F \in H^{0}\left(M, K_{M} \otimes E\right)$ such that $\left.F\right|_{Y_{0}}=f$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} c(-\psi)|F|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Theorem 1.8 with previous work of Guan-Mi-Yuan (see Theorem 1.13 in [13]), we generalize Guan-Zhou's unified version of optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem to weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds.

Remark 1.9. When $M$ is a Stein manifold, Theorem 1.8 was proved by GuanZhou [16]. When $c(t)$ is a smooth function, $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} c(t)>0$ and $c(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$, Theorem 1.8 was proved by Zhou-Zhu [29] (see also [28]).
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Remark 1.10. Note that the curvature condition (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.8 require the Nakano positivity of metric $\left(E, h e^{-\psi}\right)$ and the smooth metric $(E, h)$ itself can not be Nakano semi-positive in Theorem 1.8. In [13], the singular hermitian metric $h$ on $E$ must be singular Nakano semi-positive in the sense of Definition 1.5 in [13].

## 2. Preparations

2.1. Preparations for the proof of main theorem. In this section, we make some preparations for the proof of main theorem.

We would like to recall some lemmas which will be used in this section.
Lemma 2.1 (Theorem 1.5 in 5). Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold, and $Z$ be an analytic subset of $M$. Assume that $\Omega$ is a relatively compact open subset of $M$ possessing $a$ complete Kähler metric. Then $\Omega \backslash Z$ carries a complete Kähler metric.

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 6.9 in [5). Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $Z$ be a complex analytic subset of $\Omega$. Assume that $v$ is a ( $p, q-1$ )-form with $L_{l o c}^{2}$ coefficients and $h$ is a $(p, q)$-form with $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ coefficients such that $\bar{\partial} v=h$ on $\Omega \backslash Z$ (in the sense of distribution theory). Then $\bar{\partial} v=h$ on $\Omega$.

Lemma 2.3 (Remark 3.2 in [7]). Let ( $M, \omega$ ) be a complete Kähler manifold equipped with a (non-necessarily complete) Kähler metric $\omega$, and let $Q$ be a Hermitian vector bundle over $M$. Assume that $\eta$ and $g$ are smooth bounded positive functions on $M$ and let $B:=\left[\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{Q}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta, \Lambda_{\omega}\right]$. Assume that $\delta \geq 0$ is a number such that $B+\delta I$ is semi-positive definite everywhere on $\wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q$ for some $q \geq 1$. Then given a form $v \in L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} v=0$ and $\int_{M}\left\langle(B+\delta I)^{-1} v, v\right\rangle_{Q} d V_{M}<+\infty$, there exists an approximate solution $u \in$ $L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q-1} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ and a correcting term $h \in L^{2}\left(M, \wedge^{n, q} T^{*} M \otimes Q\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} u+\sqrt{\delta} h=v$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)^{-1}|u|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M}+\int_{M}|h|_{Q}^{2} d V_{M} \leq \int_{M}\left\langle(B+\delta I)^{-1} v, v\right\rangle_{Q} d V_{M} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $\omega$ be a continuous hermitian metric on $M$. Let $d V_{M}$ be a continuous volume form on $M$. We denote by $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ the spaces of $L^{2}$ integrable $(p, q)$-forms over $M$ with respect to $\omega$ and $d V_{M}$. It is known that $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ is a Hilbert space.

Lemma 2.4 (see Lemma 9.1 in [12]). Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of $(p, q)$-forms in $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$ which is weakly convergent to $u$. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ be a sequence of Lebesgue measurable real functions on $M$ which converges pointwisely to $v$. We assume that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $\left|v_{n}\right| \leq C$ for any $n$. Then $\left\{v_{n} u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ weakly converges to vu in $L_{p, q}^{2}\left(M, \omega, d V_{M}\right)$.

Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional complex manifold and $\omega$ be a hermitian metric on $X$. Let $Q$ be a holomorphic vector bundle on $X$ with rank $r$. Let $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ be a family of $C^{2}$ smooth hermitian metric on $Q$ and $h$ be a measurable metric on $Q$ such that $\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} h_{i}=h$ almost everywhere on $X$. We assume that $h_{i}$ is increasingly convergent to $h$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$.

The following optimal $L^{2}$ extension theorem for vector bundles with smooth hermitian metric on Stein manifolds will be used in our discussion.
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Theorem 2.5 (see Theorem 2.1 in [16]). Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$ for some $T \in(-\infty,+\infty)$ and $0<\delta<+\infty$. Let $M$ be a Stein manifold and $\omega$ be a hermitian metric on $M$. Let $h$ be a smooth hermitian metric on a holomorphic vector bundle $E$ on $M$ with rank $r$. Let $\psi<-T$ be a quasi-plurisubharmonic function on $X$ with neat analytic singularities. Let $Y:=V(\mathcal{I}(\psi))$ and assume that $\psi$ has log canonical singularities along $Y$. Assume that
(1) $\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes I d_{E}$ is Nakano semi-positive on $M \backslash\{\psi=-\infty\}$,
(2) there exists a continuous function $a(t)$ on $(T,+\infty]$ such that $0<a(t) \leq s(t)$ and $a(-\psi) \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h e^{-\psi}}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes I d_{E}$ is Nakano semi-positive on $M \backslash\{\psi=-\infty\}$, where

$$
s(t):=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c(T) e^{-T}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}} .
$$

Then for any holomorphic section $f$ of $\left.K_{M} \otimes E\right|_{Y}$ on $Y$ satisfying

$$
\int_{Y_{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]<+\infty
$$

there exists a holomorphic section $F$ of $K_{M} \otimes E$ on $M$ satisfying $\left.F\right|_{Y}=f$ and

$$
\int_{M} c(-\psi)|F|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y_{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of the main theorem.
Lemma 2.6 (see Theorem 4.4.2 in [20]). Let $\Omega$ be a pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, and $\varphi$ be a plurisubharmonic function on $\Omega$. For any $w \in L_{p, q+1}^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$ with $\bar{\partial} w=0$, there exists a solution $s \in L_{p, q}^{2}\left(\Omega, e^{-\varphi}\right)$ of the equation $\bar{\partial} s=w$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{|s|^{2}}{\left(1+|z|^{2}\right)^{2}} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda \leq \int_{\Omega}|w|^{2} e^{-\varphi} d \lambda
$$

where $d \lambda$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.
Let $c(t)$ belong to class $\mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$. Recall that

$$
s(t):=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c(T) e^{-T}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}}
$$

We have following regularization lemma for $c(t)$.
Lemma 2.7 (see Lemma 2.34 in [13). Let $c(t) \in \mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$. Let $\left\{\beta_{m}<1\right\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\beta_{m}$ decreasingly converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow+\infty$. Then there exists a sequence of positive functions $c_{m}(t)$ on $[T,+\infty)$, which satisfies:
(1) $c_{m}(t) \in \mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$;
(2) $c_{m}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$;
(3) $c_{m}(t)$ is smooth on $\left[T+4 \beta_{m},+\infty\right)$;
(4) $c_{m}(t)$ are uniformly convergent to $c(t)$ on any compact subset of $(T,+\infty)$;
(5) $\frac{1}{\delta} c_{m}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{m}(t) e^{-t} d t$ converges to $\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$ as $m \rightarrow+\infty$;
(6) For each $m$, there exists $\kappa_{m}>0$ such that

$$
S_{m}(t):=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{m}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c_{m}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c_{m}(T) e^{-T}+\kappa_{m}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c_{m}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c_{m}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}}>s(t)
$$

for any $t \geq T$ and $S_{m}^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $\left[T+\beta_{m},+\infty\right)$.
The following Lemma will be used in the proof of the Theorem 1.8 .
Lemma 2.8 (see Lemma 2.4 in [14]). Let $M$ be a complex manifold. Let $S$ be an analytic subset of $M$. Let $\left\{g_{j}\right\}_{j=1,2, \ldots}$ be a sequence of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions on $M$, which satisfies that $g_{j}$ are almost everywhere convergent to $g$ on $M$ when $j \rightarrow+\infty$, where $g$ is a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function on $M$. Assume that for any compact subset $K$ of $M \backslash S$, there exist $s_{K} \in(0,+\infty)$ and $C_{K} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\int_{K} g_{j}^{-s_{K}} d V_{M} \leq C_{K}
$$

for any $j$, where $d V_{M}$ is a continuous volume form on $M$.
Let $\left\{F_{j}\right\}_{j=1,2, \ldots}$ be a sequence of holomorphic ( $n, 0$ ) form on $M$. Assume that $\liminf _{j \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M}\left|F_{j}\right|^{2} g_{j} \leq C$, where $C$ is a positive constant. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{F_{j_{l}}\right\}_{l=1,2, \ldots}$, which satisfies that $\left\{F_{j_{l}}\right\}$ is uniformly convergent to a holomorphic $(n, 0)$ form $F$ on $M$ on any compact subset of $M$ when $l \rightarrow+\infty$, such that

$$
\int_{M}|F|^{2} g \leq C
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.8
Proof. As $M$ is weakly pseudoconvex, there exists a smooth plurisubharmonic exhaustion function $P$ on $M$. Let $M_{k}:=\{P<k\}(k=1,2, \ldots$,$) . We choose P$ such that $M_{1} \neq \emptyset$.

Then $M_{k}$ satisfies $M_{k} \Subset M_{k+1} \Subset \ldots M$ and $\cup_{k=1}^{n} M_{k}=M$. Each $M_{k}$ is weakly pseudoconvex Kähler manifold with exhaustion plurisubharmonic function $P_{k}=$ $1 /(k-P)$.

We will fix $k$ during our discussion until the last step.

## Step 1: regularization of $c(t)$.

As $e^{\psi}$ is a smooth function on $M$ and $\psi<-T$ on $M$, we know that

$$
\sup _{M_{k}} \psi<-T-8 \epsilon_{k}
$$

where $\epsilon_{k}>0$ is a real number depending on $k$.
It follows from $c(t)$ belongs to class $\mathcal{G}_{T, \delta}$, by Lemma 2.7 that we have a sequence of functions $\left\{c_{k}(t)\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$which satisfies $c_{k}(t)$ is continuous on $[T,+\infty)$, and smooth on $\left[T+4 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$ and other conditions in Lemma 2.7. Condition (6) of Lemma 2.7 tells that

$$
S_{k}(t):=\frac{\int_{T}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t_{2}} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) d t_{2}+\frac{1}{\delta^{2}} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\kappa_{k}}{\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{t} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}}>s(t)
$$

for any $t \geq T$ and $S_{k}^{\prime}(t)>0$ on $\left[T+\epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$.
As $S_{k}(t)>S(t)$ on $t \geq T$, we know that

$$
S_{k}(-\psi)\left(\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}\right)+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \geq 0
$$
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on $M \backslash\{\psi=-\infty\}$ in the sense of currents. Denote $u_{k}(t):=-\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\right.$ $\left.\int_{T}^{t} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right)$. We note that we still have $S_{k}^{\prime}(t)-S_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=1$ and $\left(S_{k}(t)+\right.$ $\left.\frac{S_{k}^{\prime 2}(t)}{u_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t) S_{k}(t)-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right) e^{u_{k}(t)-t}=\frac{1}{c_{k}(t)}$.

## Step 2: construction of a family of smooth extensions $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ to a neighborhood of $Y$ to $M$.

Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a locally finite covering of $M$ such that each open subset $U_{i}$ is Stein. Denote the singular part of $Y$ by $Y_{\text {sing. }}$. Note that $Y_{\text {sing }} \cap U_{i}$ is an analytic subset of $Y \cap U_{i}$ (see Theorem 4.31 of Chapter 2 in 11) and $U_{i}$ is Stein. We can find holomorphic functions $\left\{g_{i, j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$ for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ on $U_{i}$ such that $Y_{\text {sing }} \cap U_{i} \subset W_{i}:=\cap_{j=1}^{N}\left\{g_{i, j}=0\right\}$ and $W_{i}$ does not contain any irreducible component of $Y \cap U_{i}$. Since $U_{i}$ is Stein, we know that $U_{i} \backslash W_{i}$ is also Stein. Letting $c(t) \equiv 1$, it follows from Theorem 2.5 that there exists a holomorphic section $\tilde{f}_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i} \backslash W_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(K_{M} \otimes E\right)\right)$ which is a holomorphic extension of $f$ from $\left(U_{i} \backslash W_{i}\right) \cap Y$ to $U_{i} \backslash W_{i}$ and $\int_{U_{i} \backslash W_{i}}\left|\tilde{f}_{i}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{U_{i}}<\infty$. It follows from $\int_{U_{i} \backslash W_{i}}\left|\tilde{f}_{i}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{U_{i}}<\infty$ that $\tilde{f}_{i}$ can be extended to a holomorphic section $f_{i}$ on $U_{i}$. Note that $\left.f_{i}\right|_{\left(U_{i} \backslash W_{i}\right) \cap Y}=\left.\tilde{f}_{i}\right|_{\left(U_{i} \backslash W_{i}\right) \cap Y}=f$. As $W_{i}$ does not contain any irreducible component of $Y \cap U_{i}$, we know that $\left.f_{i}\right|_{U_{i} \cap Y^{0}}=f$.

Thus there exists a holomorphic section $f_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(K_{M} \otimes E\right)\right)$ which is a holomorphic extension of $f$ from $U_{i} \cap Y^{0}$ to $U_{i}$. Let $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\mathcal{U}$, and denote

$$
\tilde{f}:=\sum_{i \in I} \xi_{i} f_{i}
$$

Then $\tilde{f}$ is smooth on $M$, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}\right|_{U_{j}} & =\bar{\partial} \tilde{f}-\bar{\partial} f_{j} \\
& =\bar{\partial}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \xi_{i} f_{i}\right)-\bar{\partial}\left(\sum_{i \in I} \xi_{i} f_{j}\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\sum_{i \in I} \bar{\partial} \xi_{i} \wedge\left(f_{i}-f_{j}\right), \text { for all } j \in I
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $f_{i}-f_{j}=0$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap Y$. It follows from $\psi$ has neat analytic singularities and is log canonical along the zero variety $Y$ that we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}-f_{j} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}, \mathcal{O}_{M}\left(K_{M} \otimes E\right) \otimes \mathcal{I}(\psi)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $k$ is fixed until the last step and $M_{k} \Subset M$. We may assume that $M_{k} \subset \cup_{i=1}^{N} U_{i}$, where $N$ is a positive integer.

## Step 3: recall some notations.

Let $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$. Let $\left\{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)}$ be a family of smooth increasing convex functions on $\mathbb{R}$, such that:
(1) $v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(t)=t$ for $t \geq-t_{0}-\epsilon, v_{\epsilon}(t)=$ constant for $t<-t_{0}-1+\epsilon$;
(2) $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are convergence pointwisely to $\mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1,-t_{0}\right)}$, when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and $0 \leq$ $v_{\epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq \frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1+\epsilon,-t_{0}-\epsilon\right)}$ for ant $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
(3) $v_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}(t)$ are convergence pointwisely to $b(t)$ which is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \leq v_{\epsilon}^{\prime}(t) \leq 1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

One can construct the family $\left\{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)}$ by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(t):= & \int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s\right) d t_{1} \\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{-t_{0}}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{t_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s\right) d t_{1}-t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}$ is the kernel of convolution satisfying $\operatorname{supp}\left(\rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right) \subset\left(-\frac{1}{4} \epsilon, \frac{1}{4} \epsilon\right)$. Then it follows that

$$
v_{t_{0}, \epsilon} \epsilon^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}(t)
$$

and

$$
v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{-\infty}^{t}\left(\frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \mathbb{I}_{\left(-t_{0}-1+2 \epsilon,-t_{0}-2 \epsilon\right)} * \rho_{\frac{1}{4} \epsilon}\right)(s) d s
$$

Note that $\operatorname{supp} v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}{ }^{\prime \prime}(t) \Subset\left(-t_{0}-1+\epsilon,-t_{0}-\epsilon\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}{ }^{\prime}(t)\right) \Subset\left(-\infty,-t_{0}-\right.$ $\epsilon)$

We also note that $S_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[T+4 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)\right)$ satisfies $S_{k}^{\prime}>0$ on $\left[T+\epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$ and $u_{k} \in C^{\infty}\left(\left[T+4 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)\right)$ satisfies $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u_{k}(t)=-\log \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right)$ and $u_{k}^{\prime}<0$. Recall that $u_{k}(t)$ and $S_{k}(t)$ satisfy

$$
S_{k}^{\prime}(t)-S_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=1
$$

and

$$
\left(S_{k}(t)+\frac{S_{k}^{\prime 2}(t)}{u_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t) S_{k}(t)-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t)}\right) e^{u_{k}(t)-t}=\frac{1}{c_{k}(t)}
$$

Note that $u_{k}^{\prime \prime} S_{k}-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}=-S_{k}^{\prime} u_{k}^{\prime}>0$ on $\left[T+2 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$. Denote $\tilde{g}_{k}(t):=\frac{u_{k}^{\prime \prime} S_{k}-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}}{S_{k}^{\prime 2}}(t)$, then $\tilde{g}_{k}(t)$ is a positive smooth function on $\left[T+4 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$.

Denote $\eta:=S_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right), \phi:=u_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)$ and $g:=\tilde{g}_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)$. Then $\eta$ and $g$ are smooth bounded positive functions on $M_{k}$ such that $\eta+g^{-1}$ is a smooth bounded positive function on $M_{k}$.

Denote $\sum:=\{\psi=-\infty\}$. As $\psi$ has neat analytic singularities, we know that $\sum$ is an analytic subset of $M$ and $\psi$ is smooth on $M \backslash \sum$. Note that, by Lemma 2.1 $M_{k} \backslash \sum$ carries a complete Kähler metric. Denote $\tilde{h}:=h e^{-\psi} e^{-\phi}$ on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$.

## Step 4: some calculations.

We set $B=\left[\eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}, \Lambda_{\omega}\right]$ on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$. Direct calculation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \bar{\partial} \eta & =-S_{k}^{\prime}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \partial \bar{\partial}\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)+S_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \partial\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right), \\
\eta \Theta_{\tilde{h}} & =\eta \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}+\eta \Theta_{h}+\eta \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
& =S_{k} u_{k}^{\prime \prime}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \partial\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}-S_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \partial \bar{\partial}\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
& +S_{k} \Theta_{h}+S_{k} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} .
\end{aligned}
$$

OPTIMAL $L^{2}$ EXTENSION THEOREM ON WEAKLY PSEUDOCONVEX KÄHLER MANIFOLD9

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
= & S_{k} \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+S_{k} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
+ & \left(S_{k}^{\prime}-S_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)+v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
+ & {\left[\left(u_{k}^{\prime \prime} S_{k}-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\tilde{g}_{k} S_{k}^{\prime 2}\right] \sqrt{-1} \partial\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \wedge \bar{\partial}\left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we omit the term $-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)$ in $\left(S_{k}^{\prime}-S_{k} u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)$ and $\left[\left(u_{k}^{\prime \prime} S_{k}-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)-\right.$ $\left.\tilde{g}_{k} S_{k}^{\prime 2}\right]\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)$ for simplicity. Note that $S_{k}^{\prime}(t)-S_{k}(t) u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=1, \frac{u_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t) S_{k}(t)-S_{k}^{\prime \prime}(t)}{S_{k}^{\prime 2}(t)}-$ $\tilde{g}_{k}(t)=0$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
= & S_{k} \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+S_{k} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}  \tag{3.3}\\
+ & \left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)+v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} .
\end{align*}
$$

We would like to discuss a property of $S_{k}(t)$.
Lemma 3.1 (see [13]). For large enough $t_{0}$, and for any $\varepsilon \in(0,1 / 4)$, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \geq S_{k}(-t) v_{t_{0}, \varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $t \in(-\infty,-T)$.
It follows from curvature condition of Theorem 1.8 , equality (3.3) and Lemma 3.1 that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{\tilde{h}}-\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}-\sqrt{-1} g \partial \eta \wedge \bar{\partial} \eta \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
= & S_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+S_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \varepsilon}(t)\right) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
+ & \left(v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial}(\psi)+v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1} \partial(\psi) \wedge \bar{\partial}(\psi)\right) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
\geq & S_{k}(-\psi) v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}\right) \\
+ & v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi) S_{k}(-\psi) \frac{1}{S_{k}(-\psi)} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}+v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1}(\partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
= & S_{k}(-\psi) v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\left(\sqrt{-1} \Theta_{h}+\sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}+\frac{1}{S_{k}(-\psi)} \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}\right) \\
+ & v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1}(\partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} \\
\geq & v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \sqrt{-1}(\partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then by (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \geq v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi)\left[\sqrt{-1}(\partial \psi \wedge \bar{\partial} \psi) \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{E}, \Lambda_{\omega}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$.
Let $\lambda_{t_{0}}:=D^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right]$. Then we know that $\lambda_{t_{0}}$ is well defined on $M_{k}$, $D^{\prime \prime} \lambda_{t_{0}}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{t_{0}} & =-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \bar{\partial} \psi \wedge \tilde{f}+\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f} \\
& =\lambda_{1, t_{0}}+\lambda_{2, t_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda_{1, t_{0}}:=-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi) \bar{\partial} \psi \wedge \tilde{f}$ and $\lambda_{2, t_{0}}:=\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}$. Note that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \lambda_{1, t_{0}} \subset\left\{-t_{0}-1+\epsilon<\psi<-t_{0}-\epsilon\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{supp} \lambda_{2, t_{0}} \subset\left\{\psi<-t_{0}-\epsilon\right\}
$$

It follows from inequality (3.6) that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left\langle B^{-1} \lambda_{1, t_{0}}, \lambda_{1, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}}\right|_{M_{k} \backslash \sum} \\
\leq & v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi)|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi-\phi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we know that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left\langle B^{-1} \lambda_{1, t_{0}}, \lambda_{1, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum} v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi)|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi-\phi} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.7}\\
\leq & I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}:=\sup _{M_{k}} e^{-\phi} \int_{M_{k}} v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime \prime}(\psi)|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
I_{1, t_{0}}:=\left(\sup _{t \geq t_{0}} e^{-u_{k}(t)}\right) \int_{M_{k} \cap\left\{-t_{0}-1<\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} .
$$

It follows from $\tilde{f}$ is a smooth extension of $f$ from $Y_{0}$ to $M$ and the definition of $d V_{M}[\psi]$ and $u(t)$ that we know

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{1, t_{0}} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \limsup _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{k} \cap\left\{-t_{0}-1<\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0} \cap M_{k}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By inequalities (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon} \limsup _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{1, t_{0}}  \tag{3.9}\\
\leq & \frac{1}{1-4 \epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{align*}
$$

Denote

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2, t_{0}} & :=\int_{M_{k} \backslash \Sigma}\left\langle\lambda_{2, t_{0}}, \lambda_{2, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
& \leq \int_{M_{k} \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}-\epsilon\right\}}\left|D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{t_{0}}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi-\phi} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq\left(\sup _{t \geq t_{0}} e^{-u(t)}\right) \int_{M_{k} \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|D^{\prime \prime} \tilde{f}_{t_{0}}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} .
\end{align*}
$$
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It follows from equality (3.1) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that when $t_{0}$ is big enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2, t_{0}} \leq C_{8} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \int_{U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{f}_{i}-\tilde{f}_{j}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{8}>0$ is a real number independent of $t_{0}$.
For any $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, we denote

$$
I_{i, j, t_{0}}:=\int_{U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{f}_{i}-\tilde{f}_{j}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega}
$$

It follows from equality $(3.2)$ and dominated convergence theorem that we have

$$
\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{i, j, t_{0}}=\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}\left|\tilde{f}_{i}-\tilde{f}_{j}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega}=0
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{2, t_{0}}=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Step 5: solving $\bar{\partial}$-equation with error term.

Given $\tau>0$, note that

$$
\left\langle a_{1}+a_{2}, a_{1}+a_{2}\right\rangle \leq(1+\tau)\left\langle a_{1}, a_{1}\right\rangle+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\left\langle a_{2}, a_{2}\right\rangle
$$

holds for any $a_{1}, a_{2}$ in an inner product space $(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$. It follows from inequality (3.6) that on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$, for any $\tau>0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left\langle\left(B+\sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \mathrm{Id}_{E}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{t_{0}}, \lambda_{t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}(1+\tau)\left\langle\left(B+\sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \mathrm{Id}_{E}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{1, t_{0}}, \lambda_{1, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
& +\int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right)\left\langle\left(B+\sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \mathrm{Id}_{E}\right)^{-1} \lambda_{2, t_{0}}, \lambda_{2, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & (1+\tau) \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left\langle B^{-1} \lambda_{1, t_{0}}, \lambda_{1, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.13}\\
+ & \left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left\langle\frac{1}{\sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}} \lambda_{2, t_{0}}, \lambda_{2, t_{0}}\right\rangle_{\omega, \tilde{h}} d V_{M, \omega} \\
= & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}} I_{2, t_{0}} \\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}},
\end{align*}
$$

By inequalities (3.9) and (3.12), we know that $(1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}$ is finite.
From now on, we fix some $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.3. there exist $u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \in$ $L^{2}\left(M_{k} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes E, \omega \otimes \tilde{h}\right)$ and $\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(M_{k} \backslash \sum, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M \otimes E, \omega \otimes \tilde{h}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime \prime} u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=\lambda_{t_{0}} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)^{-1}\left|u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, \bar{h}}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}+\int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left|\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, \tilde{h}}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.15}\\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}<+\infty .
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, $\left(\eta+g^{-1}\right)^{-1}=c_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)} e^{\phi}$. It follows from inequality (3.15) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum} c_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)-\psi}\left|u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.16}\\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k} \backslash \sum}\left|\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi-\phi} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.17}\\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)$ is bounded on $M_{k}$ and $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing near $+\infty$. We know that $c\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)}$ has positive lower bound on $M_{k}$. We also have $e^{-\phi}=e^{-u\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)}$ has positive lower bound on $M_{k}$. As $\psi$ is upper-bounded on $M_{k}, e^{-\psi}$ also have positive lower bound on $M_{k}$. By inequalities (3.16) and (3.17), we know that

$$
u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(M_{k} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes E, \omega \otimes h\right)
$$

and

$$
\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(M_{k} \backslash \sum, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M \otimes E, \omega \otimes h\right)
$$

By Lemma 2.2 and equality (3.14), we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime \prime} u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=\lambda_{t_{0}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $M_{k}$.
Recall that $\lambda_{t_{0}}:=D^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right]$. Denote $F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}:=\lambda_{t_{0}}-u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime \prime} F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds on $M_{k}$. It follows from $\sum$ is a set of measure zero and inequalities 3.16 and (3.17) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}}, \epsilon(\psi)-\psi}\left|u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
= & \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right) e^{v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)-\psi}\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}-\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.20}\\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}}\left|\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi-\phi} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.21}\\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}
\end{align*}
$$
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## Step 6: when $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$.

We note that $k$ is fixed in this step. It follows from inequality 3.20, $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$ and $v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi) \geq \psi$ that, when $t_{0}$ is big enough, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}-\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & (1+\tau) I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & (1+\tau) \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}-\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
+ & \left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.23}\\
\leq & (1+\tau)^{2} I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+(1+\tau)\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \\
+ & \left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) C_{t_{0}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{t_{0}}:=\int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}$. Note that $\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \leq$ $\mathbb{I}_{\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{t_{0}} & =\int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|\left(\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right)\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
& \leq \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{\psi<-t_{0}\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.24}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1-j \leq \psi<-t_{0}-j\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} .
\end{align*}
$$

As $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$, we take $t_{0}$ big enough, such that $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ on $\left[t_{0}-1,+\infty\right)$. Then on $\left\{t_{0}+j<\right.$ $\left.-\psi \leq t_{0}+1+j\right\}$, we have $c_{k}(-\psi) e^{\psi} \leq c\left(t_{0}+j\right) e^{-t_{0}-j}$. Combining with inequality (3.24), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{t_{0}} & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi) \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1-j \leq \psi<-t_{0}-j\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}\left(t_{0}+j\right) e^{-t_{0}-j} e^{-\psi} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1-j \leq \psi<-t_{0}-j\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.25}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{0}+j\right) e^{-t_{0}-j} \int_{M_{k}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1-j \leq \psi<-t_{0}-j\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from condition (1.3) that

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\{-t-1<\psi<-t\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega}<+\infty
$$

Hence, when $t_{0}$ is big enough, we have

$$
\sup _{j \geq 0} \int_{M_{k}} \mathbb{I}_{\left\{-t_{0}-1-j \leq \psi<-t_{0}-j\right\}}|\tilde{f}|_{\omega, h}^{2} e^{-\psi} d V_{M, \omega} \leq C_{1}
$$

for some constant $C_{1}>0$ independent of $j$. Then we know

$$
C_{t_{0}} \leq C_{1} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{0}+j\right) e^{-t_{0}-j} \leq C_{1} \int_{t_{0}-1}^{+\infty} c_{k}(t) e^{-t} d t
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} C_{t_{0}}=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inequalities (3.23), 3.9), (3.12) and (3.26), when $t_{0}$ is big enough, we have,

$$
\sup _{t_{0}} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}<+\infty .
$$

Since the closed unit ball of Hilbert space is weakly compact, we know that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}_{t_{0}}$ (also denoted by $\left\{F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ ) weakly convergent to some $F_{k, \epsilon}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{k}, K_{M} \otimes E, c_{k}(-\psi) \omega \otimes h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. Again by inequalities (3.23), (3.9), (3.12) and (3.26), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \liminf _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \limsup _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty}\left((1+\tau)^{2} I_{1, t_{0}, \epsilon}+(1+\tau)\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}}+\left(1+\frac{1}{\tau}\right) C_{t_{0}}\right) \\
= & \frac{(1+\tau)^{2}}{1-4 \epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the construction of $e^{-\phi}$ and $\sup _{M_{k}} \psi<-T-8 \epsilon_{k}$, we know that $e^{-\phi}=$ $e^{-u_{k}\left(-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)\right)}$ has a uniformly positive lower bounded with respect to $t_{0}$. It follows from $\psi$ is upper bounded on $M_{k}$, inequalities $3.21,(3.9)$ and $(3.12)$ that we have

$$
\sup _{t_{0}} \int_{M_{k}}\left|\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}<+\infty
$$

Since the closed unit ball of Hilbert space is weakly compact, we can extract a subsequence of $\left\{\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}_{t_{0}}$ (also denoted by $\left\{v_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}_{t_{0}}$ ) weakly convergent to $v_{k, \epsilon}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{k}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M \otimes E, \omega \otimes h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. As $\lim _{t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty} I_{2, t_{0}}=0$, we know $I_{2, t_{0}}$ is uniformly upper bounded with respect to $t_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ is weakly convergent to 0 in $L^{2}\left(M_{k}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M \otimes E, \omega \otimes h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence $\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ is weakly convergent to 0 in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(M_{k}, \wedge^{n, 1} T^{*} M \otimes E, \omega \otimes h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$

It follows from $\psi$ is smooth on $M_{k} \backslash \sum, c_{k}(t)$ is smooth function on $\left[T+4 \epsilon_{k},+\infty\right)$ and $\left\{F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ weakly converges to $\left\{F_{k, \epsilon}\right\}$ in $L^{2}\left(M_{k}, K_{M} \otimes E, c_{k}(-\psi) \omega \otimes h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow$
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$+\infty$ that we have $\left\{F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ also weakly converges to $\left\{F_{k, \epsilon}\right\}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(M_{k} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes\right.$ $E, \omega \otimes h)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$.

Then it follows from equality 3.19 that, when $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime \prime} F_{k, \epsilon}=0 \text { holds on } M_{k} \backslash \sum \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $F_{k, \epsilon}$ is an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \frac{(1+\tau)^{2}}{1-4 \epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

## Step 7: solving $\bar{\partial}$-equation locally.

In this step, we prove that $F_{k, \epsilon}$ is actually a holomorphic extension of $f$ from $Y^{0} \cap M_{k}$ to $M_{k}$.

Let $x \in M_{k} \cap Y^{0}$ be any point. Let $\tilde{U}_{x} \Subset M_{k}$ be a local coordinate ball which is centered at $x$. We assume that $\left.E\right|_{\tilde{U}_{x}}$ is trivial vector bundle.

Note that we have

$$
D^{\prime \prime} u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=D^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}\right] .
$$

It follows from inequality (3.21) and the construction of $e^{-\phi}$ that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|\eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq C_{2} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{2}>0$ is a positive number independent of $t_{0}$.
Note that $D^{\prime \prime}\left(\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right)=0$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that there exists an $E$-valued ( $n, 0$ )-form $s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon} \in L^{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{x}, K_{M} \otimes E, h e^{-\psi}\right)$ such that $D^{\prime \prime} s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=$ $\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq C_{3} \int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|\left(I_{2, t_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \eta_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq C_{3} C_{2} \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{3}>0$ is a positive number independent of $t_{0}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} \leq C_{4} \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{4}>0$ is a positive number independent of $t_{0}$.
Now define $G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}:=-u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}-s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+\left(1-v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}^{\prime}(\psi)\right) \tilde{f}$ on $\tilde{U}_{x}$. Then we know that $G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}-s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ and $D^{\prime \prime} G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=0$. Hence $G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ is holomorphic on $\tilde{U}_{x}$ and we know that $u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ is smooth on $\tilde{U}_{x}$.

It follows from $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$ and inequalities (3.23) and (3.30) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\tilde{U}_{x}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} \\
\leq & 2 \tilde{C}_{5} \int_{\tilde{U}_{x}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2}+2 \tilde{C}_{5} \int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq C_{5}, \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{C}_{5}, C_{5}>0$ are positive numbers independent of $t_{0}$.

It follows from inequality (3.20, the construction of $v_{t_{0}, \epsilon}(\psi)$ and $c_{k}(t) e^{-t}$ is decreasing with respect to $t$ near $+\infty$ that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{h}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq C_{6, t_{0}} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{6, t_{0}}>0$ is a sequence of positive number depending on $t_{0}$. Then, by inequalities (3.30) and (3.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tilde{U}_{x}}\left|u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right|_{\tilde{h}}^{2} e^{-\psi} \leq 2 C_{6, t_{0}}+2 C_{3} C_{2} \sqrt{I_{2, t_{0}}} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $e^{-\psi}$ is not integrable along $Y$ and $u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}$ is smooth on $\tilde{U}_{x}$. By (3.34), we know that $u_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}+s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=0$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \cap Y$ for any $t_{0}$. Hence $G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=\tilde{f}_{t_{0}}=f$ on $\vec{U}_{x} \cap Y_{0}$ for any $t_{0}$.

It follows from inequality (3.31) that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{s_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ ) weakly convergent to 0 in $L^{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{x}, K_{M} \otimes E, h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. Note that $\left\{F_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ weakly converges to $F_{k, \epsilon}$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{x} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes E, h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence we know that $\left\{G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ weakly converges to $F_{k, \epsilon}$ in $L_{\text {loc }}^{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{x} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes E, h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$.

It follows from inequality 3.32 and Lemma 2.8 that we know there exists a subsequence of $\left\{G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ (also denoted by $\left\{G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ ) compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic $(n, 0)$-form $G_{k, \epsilon}$ on $\tilde{U}_{x}$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$. As $G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}=f$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \cap Y_{0}$ for any $t_{0}$, we know that $G_{k, \epsilon}=f$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \cap Y_{0}$.

As $\left\{G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ compactly converges to $G_{k, \epsilon}$ on $\tilde{U}_{x}$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\left\{G_{k, t_{0}, \epsilon}\right\}$ weakly converges to $F_{k, \epsilon}$ in $L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2}\left(\tilde{U}_{x} \backslash \sum, K_{M} \otimes E, h\right)$ as $t_{0} \rightarrow+\infty$, by the uniqueness of weak limit, we know that $G_{k, \epsilon}=F_{k, \epsilon}$ on any relatively compact open subset of $\tilde{U}_{x}$. Note that $G_{k,, \epsilon}$ is holomorphic on $\tilde{U}_{x}$ and $F_{k, \epsilon}$ is holomorphic on $\tilde{U}_{x} \backslash \sum$, we have $F_{k, \epsilon} \equiv G_{k, \epsilon}$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \backslash \sum$, and we know that $F_{k, \epsilon}$ can extended to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form on $\tilde{U}_{x}$ which equals to $G_{k, \epsilon}$. As $G_{k, \epsilon}=f$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \cap Y_{0}$, we know that $F_{k, \epsilon}=f$ on $\tilde{U}_{x} \cap Y_{0}$. Since $x$ is arbitrarily chosen, we know that $F_{k, \epsilon}$ is holomorphic on $M_{k}$ and $F_{k, \epsilon}=f$ on $M_{k} \cap Y_{0}$.

## Step 8: end of the proof.

Now we have a family of $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-forms $F_{k, \epsilon}$ on $M_{k}$ such that $F_{k, \epsilon}=f$ on $M_{k} \cap Y_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.35}\\
\leq & \frac{(1+\tau)^{2}}{1-4 \epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)$. By inequality 3.35, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{8}\right)} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}<+\infty \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any compact subset $K \subset M_{k} \backslash \sum=\{\psi=-\infty\}$, as $\psi$ is smooth on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$, we know that $\psi$ is upper and lower bounded on $K$. As $c_{k}(t)$ is continuous on $[T,+\infty)$, we have $c_{k}(-\psi)$ is uniformly lower bounded on $K$. It follows from Lemma
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2.8 and inequality (3.36 that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{k, \epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ (also denoted by $\left\{F_{k, \epsilon}\right\}_{\epsilon}$ ) compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form $F_{k}$ on $M_{k}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It follows from Fatou's lemma (let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) and inequality 3.35) that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k, \epsilon}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1+\tau)^{2}}{1-4 \epsilon}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] \\
\leq & (1+\tau)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there exists a family of $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-forms $F_{k}$ on $M_{k}$ such that $F_{k}=f$ on $M_{k} \cap Y_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & (1+\tau)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\delta} c_{k}(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\tau>0$ is arbitrarily chosen and $c_{k}(T) e^{-T}=c(T) e^{-T}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c_{k}(-\psi)\left|F_{k}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}  \tag{3.37}\\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi]
\end{align*}
$$

Let $k_{1}>k$ be big enough. It follows from inequality 3.37), $M_{k} \in M_{k_{1}}$ and $\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c_{k}(t) e^{-t} d t$ converges to $\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c(t) e^{-t} d t<+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k_{1}} \int_{M_{k}} c_{k_{1}}(-\psi)\left|F_{k_{1}}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}<+\infty . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any compact subset $K \subset M_{k} \backslash \sum=\{\psi=-\infty\}$, as $\psi$ is smooth on $M_{k} \backslash \sum$, we know that $\psi$ is upper and lower bounded on $K$. It follows from $c_{k_{1}}(t)$ are uniformly convergent to $c(t)$ on any compact subset of $(T,+\infty)$ and $c(t)$ is a positive continuous function on $[T,+\infty)$ that we know $c_{k_{1}}(-\psi)$ is uniformly lower bounded on $K$. By Lemma 2.8 and inequality $(3.38)$, we know that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{F_{k_{1}}\right\}_{k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$(also denoted by $\left\{F_{k_{1}}\right\}_{k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$) compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form $\tilde{F}_{k}$ on $M_{k}$. It follows from Fatou's lemma (let $k_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ ) and inequality (3.37) that we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{M_{k}} c(-\psi)\left|\tilde{F}_{k}\right|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] . \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\left\{F_{k_{1}}\right\}_{k_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form $\tilde{F}_{k}$ on $M$, we know that $\tilde{F}_{k}=f$ on $M_{k} \cap Y_{0}$.

Again for any compact subset $K \subset M \backslash Y$, as $\psi$ is smooth on $M \backslash Y$, we know that $\psi$ is upper and lower bounded on $K$. It follows from $c(t)$ is a positive continuous function on $[T,+\infty)$ that we know $c(-\psi)$ is uniformly lower bounded on $K$. By Lemma 2.8 and inequality 3.39 , we know that there exists a subsequence of $\left\{\tilde{F}_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$(also denoted by $\left.\left\{\tilde{F}_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}\right)$compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form $F$ on $M$. It follows from Fatou's lemma (let $k \rightarrow+\infty$ ) and inequality (3.39) that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M_{k}} c(-\psi)|F|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $k \rightarrow+\infty$, by monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} c(-\psi)|F|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega} \\
\leq & \left(\frac{1}{\delta} c(T) e^{-T}+\int_{T}^{+\infty} c\left(t_{1}\right) e^{-t_{1}} d t_{1}\right) \int_{Y^{0}}|f|_{\omega, h}^{2} d V_{M, \omega}[\psi] .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\left\{\tilde{F}_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}}$is compactly convergent to an $E$-valued holomorphic ( $n, 0$ )-form $F$ on $M$, we know that $F=f$ on $M \cap Y_{0}$.

Theorem 1.8 has been proved.
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