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Let $G$ be a finitely-generated group, with normal subgroups,

$$
G=G_{1} \geq G_{2} \geq G_{3} \geq \cdots,
$$

defined inductively by $G_{k}=\left[G_{k-1}, G\right]$.

We obtain an associated Lie algebra

$$
g r(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}:=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} G_{k} / G_{k+1} \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

with Lie bracket induced by the commutator map. Let $\phi_{k}=\phi_{k}(G)$ denote the rank of the $k$-th quotient.

Let $X_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathbb{C}^{\ell} \backslash \mathcal{A}$.

Mission: study the fundamental group $G=$ $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ of the complement $X_{\mathcal{A}}$ of a complex hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{A}$. Write

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{gr}\left(\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}
$$

Lefschetz-type theorem of Hamm-Le implies taking generic two dimensional slice gives isomorphism on $\pi_{1}$, so to study $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$, may assume $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{2}$ or that (coning) $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

WARNING1! Hirzebruch "The topology of the complement of a configuration of lines in the projective plane is very interesting, the investigation of the fundamental group of the complement very difficult."

Presentations for $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ given by

- Randell
- Salvetti
- Arvola
- Cohen-Suciu

Braid-Monodromy presentation is simplest, see Suciu's survey

WARNING2! $\pi_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is not combinatorial (Rybnikov).

Recall that the cohomology ring of $X_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$
H^{*}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}\right)=A=E / I
$$

is the Orlik-Solomon algebra. $E$ is an exterior algebra with a generator

$$
e_{i} \leftrightarrow H_{i} \in \mathcal{A}
$$

and $I$ is generated by all elements of the form $\partial e_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}:=\sum_{q}(-1)^{q-1} e_{i_{1}} \cdots \widehat{e_{q}} \cdots e_{i_{r}}$, for which $\operatorname{codim}\left(H_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap H_{i_{r}}\right)<r$.

Compute Orlik-Solomon algebra for the arrangement $A_{3}$, and compute the Hilbert Series. For $A_{3}$, the LCS ranks are

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
6 & 4 & 10 & 21 & 54 & \cdots
\end{array}
$$

General formula for $A_{3}$ is $\phi_{k}=w_{k}(2)+w_{k}(3)$.

Consider the series

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}}
$$

For $A_{3}$, this is

$$
\frac{1}{(1-t)^{6}} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{4}} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{3}\right)^{10}} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{4}\right)^{21}} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{5}\right)^{54}} \cdots
$$

Compute the first few terms of the expansion: $1+6 t+25 t^{2}+90 t^{3}+301 t^{4}+966 t^{5}+3025 t^{6}+\cdots$

MAGIC TRICK 1: multiply this with

$$
\pi\left(A_{3},-t\right)=1-6 t+11 t^{2}-6 t^{3}
$$

Theorem 1 (Kohno's LCS formula) For the braid arrangement $A_{n-1}$ (graphic arrangement for the complete graph $K_{n}$ )

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-i t)
$$

Previous example: braid arrangement $A_{3}$, so Kohno's result explains the computation that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}} \cdot\left(1-6 t+11 t^{2}-6 t^{3}\right)=1
$$

MAGIC TRICK 2: compute

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A_{3}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{i}
$$

To do this, look at the top row of the betti diagram for the resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $A$.

LCS formulas for arrangements

- Braid arrangements [Kohno]
- Fiber type arrangements [Falk-Randell]
- = supersolvable [Terao]
- Lower bound for $\phi_{k}$ [Falk]
- Koszul duality [Shelton-Yuzvinsky]
- Hypersolvable [Jambu-Papadima]
- Rational $K(\pi, 1)$ [Papadima-Yuzvinsky]
- MLS arrangements [Papadima-Suciu]
- Graphic arrangements [Lima-Filho, -]
- No such formula in general [Peeva]

Let $\mathbb{L}\left(H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right)$ be the free Lie algebra on $H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. Dual of cup product gives a map $H_{2}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \xrightarrow{c} H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \wedge H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}\left(H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{Q}\right)\right)$,

Following Chen, define the holonomy Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathbb{L}\left(H_{1}\left(X_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}\right)\right) / I_{\mathcal{A}},
$$

where $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is generated by $\operatorname{Im}(c)$.

## Theorem 2 (Kohno) The image of $c$ is gen-

 erated by$$
\left[x_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}\right]
$$

where $x_{i}$ is a generator of $\mathbb{L}\left(H_{1}(X, \mathbb{C})\right)$ corresponding to $H_{i}$, and $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{k}\right\}$ is a maximal dependent set of codimension two, so corresponds to an element of $L_{2}(\mathcal{A})$.

Note similarity to the Orlik-Solomon algebra!

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{i} t^{i}
$$

Theorem 3 (Kohno) $\phi_{k}(\mathfrak{g})=\phi_{k}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$.
$X_{\mathcal{A}}$ is formal (Brieskorn). Use Sullivan's work and analysis of bigrading on Hirsch extensions.

- Kohno: $\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}}=H S\left(U\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}}, t\right)\right)$ follows from previous theorem and PBW.
- Shelton-Yuzvinsky: $U\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\bar{A}^{\text {! }}$ quadratic dual of quadratic OS-algebra.
- Priddy, Löfwall: quadratic dual is related to diagonal Yoneda Ext-algebra via

$$
\bar{A}^{!} \cong \bigoplus_{i} E x t \frac{i}{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{i} .
$$

- Peeva: Nonfano shows DNE standard graded algebra satisfying LCS formula.

Koszul algebras

Definition 4 Quadratic algebra: quotient of $T(V)$ by $I \subseteq V \otimes V$.

Quadratic algebra has a quadratic dual $T\left(V^{*}\right) / I^{\perp}$ :

$$
\langle\alpha \otimes \beta \mid \alpha(a) \cdot \beta(b)=0\rangle=I^{\perp} \subseteq V^{*} \otimes V^{*}
$$

Definition 5 Quadratic algebra $A$ is Koszul if

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{j}=0, j \neq i
$$

$A$ Koszul $\leftrightarrow$ minimal free resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $A$ has matrices with only linear entries. This happens exactly when the betti diagram has nonzero entries only in the top row.

Example 6 For

$$
S=T(V) /\left\langle x_{i} \otimes x_{j}-x_{j} \otimes x_{i}\right\rangle
$$

Compute resolution and Hilbert Series of $\mathbb{C}=S /\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$. Clear that

$$
I^{\perp}=\left\langle x_{i} \otimes x_{j}+x_{j} \otimes x_{i}\right\rangle, \text { so } E=S^{!}
$$

Compute resolution and Hilbert Series.

Theorem 7 If $A$ is Koszul, so is $A^{!}$, and

$$
H S(A, t) \cdot H S\left(A^{!},-t\right)=1
$$

Example 8 Compute resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over OrlikSolomon algebra of $A_{3}$ and Nonfano.

Example 9 Via upper semicontinuity, can show quadratic $G B \rightarrow$ Koszul. Pinched Veronese (Caviglia): Koszul but no QGB. (also Eisenbud, Reeves, Totaro)

Problem Formula for LCS ranks for classes of arrangements.
Problem Formula for $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{j}$ for $A=$ OSalgebra.

We have seen that the numbers above grow very fast. Is there a simpler set of numbers? Yes!

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{j}
$$

Problem Formula for $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{j}$.

Example 10 Compute $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{j}$ for $A_{3}, D_{3}$.

The spaces

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}) \text { and } \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})
$$

are related via the change of rings spectral sequence

$$
\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{j}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}\right) \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{i+j}^{E}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})
$$

## Change of rings spectral sequence

Take (minimal) free resolutions for $\mathbb{C}$ :
$P_{\bullet}: 0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{C} \longleftarrow A \longleftarrow A^{n}(-1) \longleftarrow A^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(-2) \oplus A^{a_{2}}(-2) \cdots$
$Q_{\bullet}: 0 \longleftarrow \mathbb{C} \longleftarrow E \longleftarrow E^{n}(-1) \longleftarrow E^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(-2) \longleftarrow \cdots$
An easy analysis shows that

$$
a_{2}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{2}
$$

the number of minimal quadratic generators of the Orlik-Solomon ideal. Pictorially, we have

which gives a double complex
$P_{0} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{2}\right) \stackrel{\delta}{\leftrightarrows} P_{1} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{2}\right) \quad P_{2} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{2}\right)$
$P_{0} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{1}\right) \quad P_{1} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{1}\right) \stackrel{\delta}{\leftrightarrows} P_{2} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{1}\right)$
$P_{0} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{0}\right) \quad P_{1} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{0}\right) \quad P_{2} \otimes\left(A \otimes Q_{0}\right)$
$A \otimes_{E} Q_{i}$ are free $A$-modules, so the rows are exact, except in leftmost column. This means that $\frac{1}{h o r} E^{i, j}=0$ unless $i=0$, thus

$$
{\underset{h o r}{2}}_{h_{h}} E^{i, j}={ }_{h o r}^{\infty} E^{i, j}= \begin{cases}\operatorname{Tor}_{j}^{E}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) & i=0 \\ 0 & i \neq 0 .\end{cases}
$$

Compute: $\operatorname{Tor}_{j}^{E}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ only in degree $j$. Conclude that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} g r\left(H_{j}(T o t)\right)_{k}= \begin{cases}\binom{n+k-1}{k} & k=j \\ 0 & k \neq j\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }_{v e r t} E^{i, j} & =H_{j}\left(P_{i} \otimes_{A}\left(A \otimes_{E} Q_{\bullet}\right)\right) \\
& \left.=P_{i} \otimes_{A} H_{j}\left(A \otimes_{E} Q \bullet\right)\right) \\
& =P_{i} \otimes_{A} \operatorname{Tor} r_{j}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

Writing $T$ for Tor, the ${ }_{v e r t}^{2} E$ page is: $T_{2}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}) T_{1}^{A}\left(T_{2}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}\right) T_{2}^{A}\left(T_{2}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}\right) T_{3}^{A}\left(T_{2}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}\right)$


Can we compute this?

For $\phi_{k}$, only need $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{i}$. Differentials are graded. Consider a simple case

Example 11 Consider the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow K\left(d_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{d_{2}} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C\left(d_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

$C\left(d_{2}\right)={ }^{\infty} E^{0,1}$, and $g r\left(H_{1}(T o t)\right)_{k}$ nonzero only for $k=1$, so $C\left(d_{2}\right)$ must vanish (it is generated in degree $\geq 2$ ).
$K\left(d_{2}\right)={ }^{\infty} E^{2,0}$, nonzero only in degree 2.
Both $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{A}\left(\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})$ are generated in degree $\geq 3$, so

$$
K\left(d_{2}\right) \simeq g r\left(H_{2}(T o t)\right)_{2},
$$

which has dimension $\binom{n+1}{2}$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Tor}_{2}^{A}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})_{2}=\binom{n+1}{2}+a_{2}
$$

where $a_{2}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Tor}_{1}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{2}$. Compute!
$G$ a simple graph on $\ell$ vertices, with edges E . Define $\mathcal{A}_{G}=\left\{z_{i}-z_{j}=0 \mid(i, j) \in \mathrm{E} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{\ell}\right\}$, and let $\kappa_{s}=$ clique $\sharp$ 's of $G$.

## Theorem 12 (Lima-Filho, -)

$$
U_{G}(t)=\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1}(1-j t)^{\sum_{s=j}^{\ell-1}(-1)^{s-j}\binom{s}{j} \kappa_{s}}
$$

Example $13 G^{\prime}=$ Egypt pyramid, $G^{\prime \prime}=K_{4}$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{G^{\prime}}(t)=((1-t)(1-2 t))^{4} /(1-t)^{4}=(1-2 t)^{4}, \\
& U_{G^{\prime \prime}}(t)=(1-t)(1-2 t)(1-3 t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Gluing along a $\Delta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{G}(t) & =\frac{(1-2 t)^{4} \cdot(1-t)(1-2 t)(1-3 t)}{(1-t)(1-2 t)} \\
& =(1-2 t)^{4}(1-3 t) \text { (compute!) }
\end{aligned}
$$

For each element $a=\sum a_{i} e_{i} \in A_{1}$, we can consider the Aomoto complex $(A, a)$.

The $i^{\text {th }}$ term is $A_{i}$, and differential is $\wedge a$ :

$$
(A, a): \quad 0 \longrightarrow A_{0} \xrightarrow{a} A_{1} \xrightarrow{a} A_{2} \xrightarrow{a} \cdots \xrightarrow{a} A_{\ell} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Yuzvinsky: for generic $a,(A, a)$ is exact. The resonance varieties of $\mathcal{A}$ are the loci of points $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} e_{i} \leftrightarrow\left(a_{1}: \cdots: a_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(A_{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ for which $(A, a)$ fails to be exact.

Definition 14 For each $k \geq 1$,

$$
R^{k}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{a \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \mid H^{k}(A, a) \neq 0\right\}
$$

Falk: necessary conditions for $R^{1}(\mathcal{A})$, conjectured $R^{1}(\mathcal{A})$ is a union of linear components. Proved by Cohen-Suciu \& LibgoberYuzvinsky, and for $R^{\geq 2}(\mathcal{A})$ by Cohen-Orlik.

Conjecture 15 (Suciu) If $\phi_{4}=\operatorname{Tor}_{3}^{E}(A, \mathbb{C})_{4}$, then

$$
\prod_{k \geq 1}\left(1-t^{k}\right)^{\phi_{k}}=\prod_{L_{i} \in R^{1}(\mathcal{A})}\left(1-\left(\operatorname{dim}\left(L_{i}\right) t\right)\right.
$$
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